

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ADDITION			Washington, D.C. 20231		THE PARTIES	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			mk	
08/833.620	04/07/97	DOBBINS			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				M	15275/8610(D	
-						
MICHAEL L GC	M. Taleson	IM62/0110	7		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY IIP				HOFFMA	T.NNAM	
CLINTON SQUA ROCHESTER NY	RE, P.O. BC	X 31051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WOCHESTER MY	14603			1731	34	
				DATE MAILED:		
					01/10/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

## UNITED STALES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		XAMINER
•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		701
		24
	DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on   - 4 -   + 17	-14-ov	
This action is FINAL	1/00	
Since this application is in condition to		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11: 453 O.G. 213.	s to the merits is clo	sed in
A shortened etatutes		
whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the philadeline of the communication. Failure to respond within the philadeline of the communication of time may be obtained in 1.136(a).	month(s), or thirty	dave
the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained u 1.136(a).	eriod for response will	cause
Disposition of Claims	rider the provisions of	37 CFR
Claim(s) 12,13 22		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are pending in	the application
Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.

# See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE

See the attached Notice of Draftsparson's Detection		
The drawing(s) filed on		
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		dia
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ш	disapproved.
solution of the Examiner.		

Claim(s) Claim(s)

Claim(s)

Application Papers

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIER			
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)			

0	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
A	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summan, PTO-449	34
	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
_		

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

\_is/are allowed. \_is/are rejected.

\_is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application/Control Number: 08/833,620

Art Unit: 1731

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 12, 13 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller 4501602 in view of Schwarz EP0038900 and optionally in view of Hyde 2272342 and/or JP 138145 (\*145)

Miller teaches the invention substantially at col. 1, lines 10-31. Col. 12, line 3-8 essentially discloses that Miller's invention is an improvement of that col. 1 process. Miller also teaches that it is known that other silicon compounds can be used (col.1, lines 32-41), however, silicon tetrachloride is clearly favored by Miller.

Schwarz teaches to substitute siloxanes for the tetrachloride in the production of silica soot because: (1) such is free of chlorine and (2) absence of the need to get rid of acid (Page 3, lines 3-9). It would have been obvious to alter the Miller process so as to use one of the Schwarz cyclosiloxanes for the advantages of Schwarz. It is noted that Schwarz's second advantage is essentially the same as Applicant's advantage. — Page 3 Let Paragraph

Hyde is cited because it discloses that for over 60 years it has been known that one can use any hydrolyzable compound in making silica soot (page 2, lines 34-37).

'145 is cited as documenting a reasonable expectation of success for making a high quality optical silica glass using a cyclosiloxane (see entire document and most particularly - page 6, lines 7-26). Although no cyclosiloxane is explicitly mentioned, one looking at '145 would at once

Application/Control Number: 08/833,620

Art Unit: 1731

envisage the cyclosiloxanes. Specifically the Six Ry Oz compound. Small values of x would be envisioned (i.e. 2,3,4). R = methyl would be envisioned because it is disclosed in the hexamethyldisiloxane and it is a very simple alkyl. One would envision Y to be a value less than 2x + 2 (otherwise the phrase "not higher than 2x + 2" would be replaced with "is 2x + 2". And if Y is less than 2x + 2, the compound is a cyclosiloxane - because there is no other appropriate structure for a siloxane with fewer than 2x + 2 monovalent hydrocarbon groups as required by '145.

As to claims 33-38, see Schwarz, page 3, line 4.

# Requests for interviews

The Office initiates interviews whenever it is deemed that it would be beneficial to do so to advance prosecution. And when an Applicant wishes to have an interview, the burden to initiate the interview remains solely with Applicant. MPEP 408 notes that Examiners are not required to note or acknowledge requests for telephone calls or state reasons why such proposed telephone interview would not be effective; therefore, requests for the Office to initiate interviews will not be acknowledge.

MPEP 713.05, 713.03, 713.09, and 713.01 and common sense indicate that any of the following questions would be appropriate for the Office to ask prior to granting an interview: Has there already been an interview of record in the case? Will the interview last more than 30 minutes? When do you want the interview? Does Applicant's representative have Power of Attorney? Does Applicant's representative have authority to bind the principal concerned? (i.e. Does Applicant's representative have authority to make any and all changes?) Who will participate in the interview? What is the intended purpose(s) of the interview? What is the intended content of the requested interview? Failure to volunteer the above information might possibly result in a denial of an interview, or the inability of the Examiner to adequately answer Applicant's questions during the interview.

# CONTACT INFORMATION

Examiner's number fax- official papers after a final rejection fax- official papers (all others) fax- unofficial papers Group Receptionist	(703) 308-0469 305-3599 305-7718 305-7115
•	308-0651

JOHN HOFFMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER